

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/826,501	04/19/2004	Susumu Aoyama	040075 1350		•
	7590 07/27/2007 ITOS & HANSON, LLP	EXAMINER			
1420 K Street, N.W.			WHIPKEY, JASON T		
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1	
	•		2622	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				-	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/826,501	AOYAMA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jason T. Whipkey	2622					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the prac	s action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters, pro						
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.	)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to lead to lead accepted or b)⊡ objected to lead accepted by the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite					
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/826,501

Art Unit: 2622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1-9 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - In claim 1 on lines 6-7, "ordering said focusing mechanism a focusing action" is unclear.
  - In claim 6 on lines 6-7, "ordering said focusing mechanism a focusing action"
     is unclear.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 2-5 and 7-9 are objected to because they are dependent upon objected to claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 13 and 14 define a "program" embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory. That is, the scope of the presently claimed program can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person.

Art Unit: 2622

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8, 10, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohnuki (U.S. Patent No. 4,969,003) in view of Hamamura (U.S. Patent No. 5,815,748).

Regarding **claims 1, 6, 10, and 12**, Ohnuki discloses an electronic device having an imaging part (film) which catches an image obtained through an optical system (see Figure 2), and a focusing mechanism (lens motor LMTR) which moves said optical system to an autofocusing position (see column 9, lines 52-66), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/826,501

Art Unit: 2622

a switch (a release button that is not shown) that functions as a focusing switch ordering said focusing mechanism a focusing action (via switch SW1; see column 9, lines 1-11) and also functions as a shutter switch ordering taking of an image caught by said imaging part (via switch SW2; see column 9, lines 4-11), said switch ordering the focusing action or the taking of the caught image by being operated; and

a control part (control device PRS) that, in the case in which a shutter operation of said switch is performed during the focusing action of said focusing mechanism due to said switch, switches said optical system to a fixed focus from said auto-focusing position and takes a fixed focus image (the focusing is stopped and the image is captured; see column 18, lines 54-59).

Ohnuki is silent with regard to moving the lens to a fixed focus position.

Hamamura discloses a camera with a lens. When the focus lens is unable to reach a desired focal position, the lens is driven to the middle of its focal point range (see column 20, lines 11-15).

An advantage of driving a focus lens to a fixed, central point is that a the chances of an image being in focus are maximized when an original focus cannot be achieved. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Ohnuki's system drive its focus lens to a middle point, as described by Hamamura.

Regarding claim 2, Ohnuki discloses:

said control part compares between a time required for bringing into focus in said focusing mechanism and a time from starting of the focusing action until

Art Unit: 2622

starting of said shutter operation (release time lag TR; see column 19, line 67, through column 20, line 25), and changes said optical system to said auto-focusing position or said fixed focus position based on a result of the comparison (see column 22, lines 20-29).

### Regarding claims 3 and 7, Ohnuki discloses:

said switch is provided as a first switch (SW1; see column 9, lines 1-11), and a switch which is used in photographing by a fixed focus is also provided as a second switch separated from the first switch (SW2; see column 9, lines 4-11).

### Regarding claims 4 and 8, Ohnuki discloses:

said switch functions as said focusing switch at a state of a half-push and functions as said shutter switch at a state of a full-push (see column 9, lines 1-11).

Claims 13 and 14 can be treated like claim 1. Additionally, Ohnuki discloses that control device PRS stores a program that is executed to control the camera (see column 7, lines 59-67).

Claims 15 and 16 can be treated like claim 1. Additionally, Ohnuki discloses an integrated circuit (control device PRS is a single-chip microcomputer; see column 7, lines 59-67).

7. Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohnuki in view of Hamamura and further in view of Terasaki (U.S. Patent No. 7,119,843)

Claims 5 and 9 can be treated like claims 1 and 6, respectively. However, Hamamura is silent with regard to the device having two housing parts that fold up.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,501 Page 6

Art Unit: 2622

Terasaki discloses an imaging device, including:

a first housing part (arm 6 in Figure 5) that has said imaging part (imaging optical system 4);

a second housing part (phone body 1) that has said switch (shutter button 12; see column 5, lines 8-13); and

a coupling part (hinge 5) that couples said first housing part and said second housing part so that the first and second housing parts can be folded up (see column 4, lines 19-29).

An advantage of using two housing parts that fold up is that the device can be carried compactly. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Hamamura's system include two housing parts that fold up, as described by Terasaki.

8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohnuki in view of Hamamura and further in view of Iida (U.S. Patent No. 5,001,507).

Claim 11 can be treated like claim 10. However, Hamamura is silent with regard to superimposing a focusing mark on an image display.

Iida discloses an imaging device, including:

a process that superimposes a focusing mark (42 and 43 in Figure 10) representative of a distance between a pictured object and the optical system on an image, in the middle of said focusing action, which is caught by said imaging part, and displays it (on a viewfinder; see column 13, lines 47-61).

Art Unit: 2622

An advantage of superimposing a focusing mark on a pictured object is that a user can have more information when composing an image, thus resulting in an improved output. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Hamamura's system include a focusing mark on an image display.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Whipkey, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7321. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. eastern daylight time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye, can be reached at (571) 272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

Application/Control Number: 10/826,501

Art Unit: 2622

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 23, 2007

LIN YE SPE. ART UNIT 2622

Page 8